

who no longer have to choose between providing for themselves, for their own retirement, or the education of their children, and providing for the health care desperately needed by American senior citizens.

This has been one of the great and shining examples of success of Government action in the history of this country. It and Social Security are two of the most popular programs in the lexicon of Government programs, and they are supported by almost everyone. Cuts in those programs would be regarded by almost every American as being something not only unwise, but dangerous from the standpoint of the well-being of our society, our economy and of this country.

Indeed, these programs have not only contributed to the well-being of Americans and their health and peace of mind, but they are also programs which have done much to make meaningful the promise of America.

I urge my colleagues and I urge my fellow Americans to support the idea that Medicare can be saved, not by draconian cuts, but by wise changes in administration. Let us use the money we have in Medicare for protecting the senior citizens and the people of this country, and not for tax cuts to the wealthy.

#### AMERICANS WANT LESS GOVERNMENT AND LESS REGULATION

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida [Mr. SCARBOROUGH] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. SCARBOROUGH. Mr. Speaker, you know, last November the American people delivered a mandate to this institution, a supposed mandate as we heard from a previous speaker. The fact of the matter is not a single Republican Governor, Senator, or Congressman was defeated in that election.

Why did Americans vote Republican? It is because they wanted less government. They wanted less regulation. They wanted to get government, in the words of Ronald Reagan, off the people's back.

That is what we are starting to do. Now, it is going to be a long, hard, drawn out process, but, you know, a year ago when I was campaigning, I was talking about how the American hour was upon us, about how Americans had to decide once and for all whether we were going to go back and repeat the same mistakes that we have been making for the past 40 years, or whether we are, instead, going to turn back to those basic simple truths that our Founding Fathers laid as the foundation of this great country.

James Madison said that we have staked the entire future of American civilization on the power of the individual, not on the power of government. Thomas Jefferson said that the government that governs least governs best.

Yet in this time of the American people's call for less government intrusion in their lives, an ominous trend is developing, and we have seen it develop since the Oklahoma City bombing.

Now, the Oklahoma City bombing was an absolute tragedy. I do not think anyone in this Chamber could have looked at those pictures and not been absolutely horrified by what went on in Oklahoma City and the lives that were lost. But the fact of the matter is this: We do not prevent Oklahoma Cities in the future by eviscerating our fourth amendment rights. There is a counterterrorism bill that is coming to the floor in the near future, and some Members have openly said that Americans are going to have to get used to living with less freedoms for more safety.

Well, that is very ironic when you consider what Benjamin Franklin said over 200 years ago. It is almost as if he anticipated an event like this and the gut reaction that it would cause. Ben Franklin said those Americans who are willing to give up freedoms for a little bit of temporary safety deserve neither safety nor freedom.

That is something that we need to remember as we rush quickly toward passing a bill that is going to increase the Federal Government's power to wiretap, to conduct warrantless searches, and to basically give the Federal Government more police power than it has ever had.

Let us take a couple of steps back here and again listen to what the American people were saying last November. They were not saying we are electing Republicans because we like the name "Republican" in front of the candidate. They voted in one of the most historic congressional landslides in recent history for the party they believed was going to represent less government intrusion in their personal lives.

I believe this is a step in the wrong direction, and I believe you are going to see Republicans and Democrats alike coming together and doing what they can to make sure that the American people's will is heard; more importantly, that our fourth amendment rights and our constitutional rights are protected through this time.

You know, anybody that speaks out against the Federal Government's involvement in Waco or Ruby Ridge or some of these other incidents are considered crazies, right wing fanatics. But the fact of the matter is we are finally shining a little bit of light on what happened in Waco and Ruby Ridge, and we have already seen that the No. 2 man at the FBI has had to be demoted because the FBI messed up. At Ruby Ridge they shot an innocent woman and a man's son, and they did so without proper reason. Then they went back behind there and destroyed documents to hide what they were doing.

Let me tell you something, that is not what the American people voted

for last November. They voted for less government. They voted also, I might say in conclusion, for honesty and integrity.

As I close, Mr. Speaker, I just have to respond very briefly to what the gentleman from New Jersey said and the gentleman from Michigan. They talked about how much they cared about Medicare. They said they cared about Medicare so much they were going to allow it to go bankrupt in the year 2002. I think I care about it a little more and the rest of the Members here do, too. We are going to save Medicare.

#### THE 30TH ANNIVERSARY OF MEDICARE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Connecticut [Mrs. KENNELLY] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mrs. KENNELLY. Mr. Speaker, at the end of the month our Nation will celebrate the 30th anniversary of Medicare. This occasion should remind all of us that nearly every single one of us is touched by Medicare. If you are an individual over 65, that is where you look to for your health care. If you are under 65 you certainly think about Medicare when you are planning for your retirement.

We also know that those who have mothers and fathers alive or other relatives that they care about or are concerned about, they know Medicare is there for them. But most of all, this anniversary should make us all think about what Medicare has done for America's older citizens across the board.

Before Medicare, more than half of all senior citizens did not have any health care coverage. Many seniors faced financial ruin when they had to go to the hospital for any length of time, and all too often they were forced to turn to others to help them, sometimes threatening those that they turned to, their financial future. But most of all, Medicare's anniversary should inspire us to know that we have to make sure Medicare is there for all of us.

Eventually, what happened in the past was elderly people had nowhere to turn. Today, 97 percent of all Americans over the age of 65 have health care coverage; 97 percent. And while we must still work to address the problem of long-term care, which is still very much there, Medicare has saved seniors from going untreated or bankrupt when they needed to have health care.

Before Medicare, 35 percent of American senior citizens lived below the poverty line. I think some of us can remember this, in part because a single trip of any length to the hospital destroyed somebody's life earnings. Today, 30 years after Medicare was signed into law, the number of elderly in poverty has declined to 12 percent. Much of this has to do with the Medicare system.